

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/204,706	12/03/1998	RAVIKUMAR RAMACHANDRAN	98-P-7501-US	5853	
75	90 03/25/2003				
The Law Office of Jerome J Norris			EXAMINER		
1901 Pennsylvania Avenue Suite 305 Washington, DC 20006			OLSEN, ALLAN W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1763	2	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2003	2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
/	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/204,706	RAMACHANDI	RAN ET AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan W. Olsen	1763	
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence a	ddress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the C (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate period for reply (including a total extension of times (b) A proposed reply was received on 16 September final rejection. 	of Mailing or Transmission date e of month(s)) which expi	d), which is after the red on	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reje application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with	filed Notice of Appeal (with appe		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not corfinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (S	nstitute a proper reply, or a bona See explanation in box 7 below).	fide attempt at a proper re	ply, to the non-
(d) \(\subseteq \text{No reply has been received.} \)			
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTC) (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable,	OL-85). was received on (with a	a Certificate of Mailing or T	ransmission dated
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A bal	ance of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$		ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, ha		, , , , , , ,	
Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as Allowability (PTO-37).	required by, and within the three	e-month period set in, the N	lotice of
(a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on _ after the expiration of the period for reply.	(with a Certificate of Mailin	g or Transmission dated), which is
(b) \square No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed b the applicants. 	y the attorney or agent of record	, the assignee of the entire	interest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed be 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	oy an attorney or agent (acting in	a representative capacity (under 37 CFR
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte of the decision has expired and there are no allowed 		d because the period for se	eeking court review
7. The reason(s) below:	elibt	SHRIVE P. GECK RVISORY PATENT EXAMIN	FR
		CHNOLINGY CENTER 1700	
		•	
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to wi	thdraw the holding of abandonment	under 37 CFR 1.181, should b	e promptly filed to

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

	Application No	o.	Applicant(s)			
Interview Summary	09/204,706		RAMACHANDRAN ET AL.			
interview Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
	Allan W. Olsen		1763			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Allan W. Olsen.	(3)					
(2) <u>Jerome Norris</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>11 March 2003</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed:						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
				:		
		•				
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Ē	xaminer's signa	ature, if required			



Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews

Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check the appropriate box at the bottom of the Form which informs the applicant that the submission of a separate record of the substance of the interview as a supplement to the Form is not required.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner,
 - (The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Because the six month statutory time limit for applicant's response to the Office action of 9/10/2002 had elapsed, the examiner called Mr. Norris to verify whether or not applicant intended to abandon the application. Mr. Norris informed the examiner that an After-final amendment was filed on 9/16/2002. Mr. Norris faxed a copy of a date stamped card that was returned to Mr. Norris that acknowledged PTO's receipt of the amendment on 9/16/2002. Mr. Norris' fax to the examiner also included a copy of the amendment that was filed on 9/16/2002. The examiner indicated to Mr. Norris that he would be inquiring as to the proper manner of handling this situation. The examiner placed a follow-up call to Mr. Norris on March 21, 2003 to relay that which he had learned regarding the proper procedure for this situation. Specifically, th examiner was informed by his supervisor that if the after final amendment places the application in condition for allowance, then the application should be allowed. Otherwise, given the passage of 6 months from the mailing of the final rejection, the application is abandoned.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
' Advisory Action	09/204,706	RAMACHANDRAN ET AL.				
Advicery Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Allan W. Olsen	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 16 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note because of the second s		sially and decimal and since life in a Aba				
(c) ⊠ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-17</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>						

Continuation of 10. Other: Note regarding box 2c above: in claims 13 and 16 the examiner specifically noted five ways in which these claims failed to meet the third prong of the analysis to determine if 112/6th paragraph should be invoked. In the proposed amendment, only claim 13, step a, meets the third prong of the analysis. The other means plus function limitations still fail the third prong of the analysis. For example, claim 13 step b, requires a vacuum chamber means to chemically modify the sidewall polymer rails to form a water soluble residue. However, the limitation additionally recites providing HF/NF3 which is a sufficient act, that uses a sufficient material to achieve the specified function. Because the claims are not being treated under 112 6th, Applicant's arguments regarding the treatment of method limitations within the context of a means plus function claim language, are not applicable because the arguments are premised within the context of the claims being treated under 112/6th.